<b>REPORT FOR DECISION</b>	R	EPO	RT	FOR	DECISION	J
----------------------------	---	-----	----	-----	----------	---



Agenda Item

MEETING:	PLANNING CONTROL COMMITTEE				
DATE:	14 DECEMBER 2010				
SUBJECT:		VALIDATION CHECKLIST CRITERIA			
<b>REPORT FROM:</b>	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR PLANNING, ENVIRONMENT & REGULATARY SERVICES				
CONTACT OFFICER:	DAVID MARNO				
TYPE OF DECISION:	COUNCIL	-			
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/STATUS:	This pape	r is within the public domain			
SUMMARY:	was carrie	t provides an update on the consultation that ed out on the Validation Checklist criteria that is ated and initially reported to the Committee in 010.			
OPTIONS & RECOMMENDED OPTION		nittee is recommended to note the report, its nd accept the changes to the validation criteria.			
IMPLICATIONS:					
Corporate Aims/Policy Framework:		Do the proposals accord with the Policy Framework? YES			
Financial Implications an Considerations:	nd Risk	Director of Finance and E-Government to advise regarding risk management N/A			
Statement by Director of Finance and E-Government:		N/A			
Equality/Diversity implic	ations:	N/A			
Considered by Monitoring Officer:		N/A			

No. The approach set out in this report is consistent with existing planning policies.

Staffing/ICT/Property:	N/A
Wards Affected:	All
Scrutiny Interest:	N/A

#### TRACKING/PROCESS

### **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:**

Executive Member/Chair	Ward Members	Partners
Executive	Committee	Council
	Member/Chair	Member/Chair

# 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1. At the meeting of the Planning Control Committee on 19 October 2010, a report was provided to describe the amendments set out to the regulations for the validation of planning applications.
- 1.2. In April 2010, the Government issued a series of amendments and changes to planning regulations. In particular reference to this report, the Government set out its policy on the information that must be provided in support of planning applications with the requirement for existing validation checklists to be reviewed and adopted by 31 December 2010.
- 1.3. Since then, the contents of the revised checklist have been subject to consultation and this report provides the details of that process.
- 1.4. The purpose of this report is to seek confirmation that the revised checklists be adopted.

### 2.0 Consultation Process

Following on from the October meeting, consultees and the 9 Greater Manchester Local Authorities were notified on the 09<sup>th</sup> November 2010 and the checklists published on the website from 14<sup>th</sup> October 2010.

2.1. As a result of this publicity, No objections were received to the revisions. The police commented that they were pleased that the Council had used their suggested criteria for determining what type of applications require a Crime Impact Assessment and they also provided an informative note on the contents that such an assessment should include to be robust.

2.2. In view of this, it is recommended that the validation checklists be amended as presented on 19 October 2010 and be adopted. The checklists are attached to this report.

\_\_\_\_\_

# List of Background Papers:-

Bury Unitary Development Plan Emerging Core Strategy for the Bury Local Development Framework

# **Contact Details:-**

David Marno Development Manager Planning, Environment and Regulatory Services Environment and Development Services 3 Knowsley Place Bury BL9 0EJ

Tel: 0161 253 5291 Email: <u>d.marno@bury.gov.uk</u>